



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,575	02/26/2002	Robert Karl Goodman	67,008-037/S-5194 8919	
26096 7.	590 09/26/2005		EXAMINER	
	GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.	RODRIGUEZ, PAUL L		
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			2125	

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/085,575	GOODMAN, ROBERT KARL				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul L. Rodriguez	2125				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 06 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ol>						
		e final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date						
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	be filed within the time period set io	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(	a).			
AMENDMENTS  The proposed amondment(s) filed after a final rejection.	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	hecause			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling						
the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ili de entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:	•	â				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>6-16</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>17-21</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary			
<ul> <li>9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ul>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance pecause:			

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2125

Paul L Rodriguez

13. 

Other: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

## Application No.

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 10 adds the limitation of "relationship T", however there is no clear definition of the claimed relationship presented in the claim or the specification. Paragraph 13 defines a relationship expressed as a matrix equation where T is a matrix, not the relationship. The specification does not define T as a relationship. T is also described as "the transpose of a vector or matrix. Because there are varying definitions of T, the added term is considered indefinite.

Continuation of 13. Other: Regarding the arguments with respect to the additional restriction requirement. Examiner did provide a specific reason for the additional restriction requirement. The new claims 17-21 are directed to a separate and distinct invention. Also, a complete and thorough office action was presented for claims 6-16 on 2/22/05. Claims 17-21 are considered directed to a separate and distinct invention as those claims set forth in claims 6-16. It is for these reasons that a restriction by original presentation was made. Claims 17-21 remain withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a separate and distinct invention. The restriction requirement set forth in the office action of 7/5/05 is made Final.